The nomenclature and type locality of *Berkeleya adeliensis* (Bacillariophyceae): a correction
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Background and aims – *Berkeleya adeliensis* was described in 1990 by Medlin, unfortunately with an error in its typification. This note discusses and corrects the error.

Key results and conclusions – The type locality is in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, not in Adélie Land where the species was first recorded by Manguin as “*Amphipleura rutilans var. antarctica*”. Nevertheless, the species does occur in both localities, as shown by published illustrations. Morphometric data are given for *Berkeleya* species reported from the Antarctic, including the two locations where *B. adeliensis* occurs.
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Van Heurck (1896) and Peragallo & Peragallo (1897) retained the tube dwelling genus *Berkeleya* Grev. separate from *Amphipleura* Kütz. Cox (1975a, 1975b) provided clear supporting evidence for this, which included, along with the ability to form mucilage tubes, differences in valve outline, apex shape, terminal raphe endings, and girdle bands.

In 1880, Grunow transferred *Schizonema antarcticum* Harv., a tube dwelling diatom, to *Berkeleya*. Peragallo (1897) appears to have been the first to use the combination *Berkeleya rutilans var. antarctica* Perag., but treated this as a later synonym of the northern hemisphere taxon, *Berkeleya rutilans var. parasitica* (Harv.) Perag. Medlin (1990) documented that more than one taxon in the Antarctic had been identified as *Berkeleya rutilans var. antarctica*. Medlin illustrated one taxon that could be regarded as a variety of *B. rutilans* (Trentep. ex Roth) Grunow (Medlin 1990: figs 1, 4, 10–13) but she did not describe this as a new variety; instead she left it unnamed but documented that it was distinct from the nominate form. Medlin listed other names that were considered as later synonyms of *Berkeleya rutilans var. antarctica* but did not examine them.

To establish the true features of *Berkeleya rutilans var. antarctica*, Medlin was able to float off some specimens from the dried herbarium sheet of material originally collected by Hooker in Berkeley Sound in the Falkland Islands. This was the material upon which Harvey described *Schizonema antarcticum* and Medlin examined it by light and electron microscopy. She concluded that this entity was sufficiently different from *B. rutilans* to reinstate it as a separate species, which, when transferred to *Berkeleya*, should be called *Berkeleya antarctica* (Harv.) Grunow in Van Heurck. However, the specimens identified as *Berkeleya rutilans var. antarctica* by Manguin (1960) were not the same as Harvey’s species and so Medlin described those specimens as a new species, *Berkeleya adeliensis* Medlin, using material from several sites in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Manguin’s diatom is linear in valve view with broadly rounded obtuse ends. Its valves are fragile and appear hyaline in the light microscope because of its striae being 50–60 in 10 mm. Apparently, this taxon is widely distributed in benthic and sea ice samples from the Antarctic and Medlin (1990) cited several papers where it was present but identified under different names (Medlin 1990: 82). More recently, Riaux-Gobin & Poulin (2004) have provided more documentation of its biogeography around Antarctica.

However, Prof. Paul Silva kindly informed Medlin of an error in the description of *Berkeleya adeliensis* by Medlin (1990): the type locality and the type slide are not from the same location. Although this diatom was initially identified (as *Amphipleura rutilans var. antarctica* (Grunow) Grunow)
by Manguin from Cape Margerie, Adélie Land Antarctica, there was no material for Medlin to use from there as a type when Medlin corrected the systematics of Antarctic Berkeleya species. Instead, Medlin used material from McMurdo Sound, but cited the original place where the species was found, Adélie Island, as the type location. This was a mistake because the type slide must be logically from the type location! Therefore, the type location must be corrected as follows, to agree with the holotype specified in 1990:

**Berkeleya adeliensis** Medlin (Medlin 1990: 82, *emend.*)

**Fig. 1**

**Type material** – slide BM 81621 (holo-: BM).

**Table 1** – Morphometric measurements of Berkeleya species reported from the Antarctic taken from Medlin (1990) and Riaux-Gobin & Poulin (2004).

This table updates the information given by Medlin (1990: table 1), providing diagnostic measurements for the three known Antarctic Berkeleya species.¹ For further information on *B. rutilans* var. ? see Medlin (1990: figs 1–4, 10–11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
<th>Striae in 10 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeleya antarctica</td>
<td>20–26</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>36–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeleya rutilans var. ?</td>
<td>18–35</td>
<td>4–6.2</td>
<td>6–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeleya adeliensis from McMurdo Sound, Antarctica</td>
<td>57–82</td>
<td>7–13</td>
<td>50–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeleya adeliensis from Adélie Land, Antarctica</td>
<td>50–70</td>
<td>7–11</td>
<td>42–45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type locality** – New Harbour, McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. The species was found growing on the underside of fast ice and forms mucilage tubes (illustrated by Medlin 1990: fig. 3; and by Riaux-Gobin & Poulin 2004: fig. 7).

Material of *Berkeleya adeliensis* from Adélie Land is now available (Riaux-Gobin & Poulin 2004) and there is no doubt that this recently collected material is conspecific with the type material from McMurdo Sound (this paper, fig. 1 & table 1, and Riaux-Gobin & Poulin 2001: figs 1–9). Nevertheless, there are minor differences: Riaux-Gobin & Poulin (2004) noted that their specimens did not have a regular fan-shaped apical stria pattern around the poles as did the specimens from McMurdo Sound and the stria density was slightly less (table 1). Samples and slides of Riaux-Gobin & Poulin’s material from Adélie Land can be regarded as au-

---

¹ For further information on *B. rutilans* var. ? see Medlin (1990: figs 1–4, 10–11).
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